



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

90  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/978,490	11/25/1997	ITARU KAWAKAMI	SONY-5300	4451
22850	7590	10/17/2005	EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			DINH, DUNG C	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2152		

DATE MAILED: 10/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	08/978,490	KAWAKAMI, ITARU
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dung Dinh	2152

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 August 2005.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                            2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1,2,4,5,7,8,10,11,13-21 and 23-25 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1,2,4,5,7,8,10,11,13-21 and 23-25 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

**DETAILED ACTION**

**Response to Arguments**

Applicant's arguments filed 8/31/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive in view of ground of rejection below.

**Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103**

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2, 4-5, 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over RFC 1738 "Uniform Resource Locators (URL)" 1994 and further in view of Mattaway et al. US patent 6,275,490; Long et al. US patent 5,943,365; Capps et al. US patent 6,512,525; and Shachar et al. US patent 5,764,736.

As per claims 1-2, RFC 1738 provides an Internet standard for the syntax and semantics of a language for location and access of resource.

Art Unit: 2152

The RFC 1738 provide for a language usable for describing link location in the form of <scheme>:<scheme-specific-part>, wherein the <scheme> is the communication method, <scheme-specific-part> includes the destination address and other information dependent upon the <scheme> [see pages 2, 5-6].

The RFC 1738 does not specifically disclose using a telephone number as a destination address.

Mattaway teaches a system having HTML tags encoded destination link having a telephone number as a designation address [col.4 lines 5-11]. Mattaway does not disclose the specific of the information contained in this telephone destination link.

Hence, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to apply the RFC 1738 standard to the encoding of the telephone address destination to have the communication method (<scheme>) and the telephone number (<scheme-specific-part>) because it would have enable standard parsing and usage of the link information.

Mattaway does not specifically disclose a computer having a first communicating mode connecting to a server apparatus through the Internet and a second communicating mode connecting to a telephone apparatus via a secured public telephone network, using the same telephone line. However this feature is well

Art Unit: 2152

known in the art and is an inherent feature in a computer with a fax/modem device. Long discloses that computer with a modem device can make a FAX call, data call to another computer, or access the Internet via the modem through a single telephone line [see col.1 lines 45-55]. Hence, the feature of two communication modes (e.g. Internet access and fax communication) using the same telephone line is inherent in a PC with a modem. Furthermore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use Long's modem with Mattaway because it would have improved reliability of data communication over telephone line [see Long col.1]; and thereby arrived at the claimed invention.

Mattaway does not specifically disclose information configured to confirm that a communication link with the predetermined apparatus shall be established (e.g. a confirmation dialog) with the telephone number of the selected communication mode. However, it is well known in the art to offer the user a confirmation when an action with consequence or cost to the user is about to be performed. Capps teaches a user interface having dialog which can displays phone number so that the user can see the number to be dialed [see fig.16a-d, fig.17, 19a, col.17 lines 10-37, col.18 lines 5-26]. Since initiating a new telephone call would interrupt the current call (e.g.

Art Unit: 2152

interrupting the user's current Internet dialup session), it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to display the communication mode and the phone number indicated in the link before dialing because it would have given the user an opportunity to confirm the number and/or stop the call.

Mattaway and Capps do not teach the information further includes communication charge based on the telephone number. In similar field of communication art, Shachar teaches a method for switching between communication modes. Shachar teaches providing a link destination with tag data indicating communication method (connection technology), telephone number to be dialed and charge information related to the telephone number to be dialed [see col.12 lines 1-11]. Hence, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to including charge information because it would have enabled the user to know the cost of the call and thereby enable the user to make an informed decision whether to make that call.

As per claims 4-5, 20-21, are similarly rejected as for claims 1-2 above.

**Claims 7-8, 17-19, and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mattaway et al. US patent 6,275,490 and further in view of RFC 1738 "Uniform**

Art Unit: 2152

**Resource Locators (URL)" 1994 and Long et al. US patent 5,943,365 and Capps et al. US patent 6,512,525; and Shachar et al. US patent 5,764,736.**

As per claim 7, Mattaway teaches an apparatus comprising:

a) a receiver(client's browser) configured to receive information, the information including a telephone number assigned to a line connect to a predetermined apparatus [col.3 lines 50-63, col.4 lines 7-11];

b) a display [fig.2a] configured to display the information

c) a command device [col.3 line 53 pointing device] configured to specify a predetermined position;

d) a communication controller configured to establish a communication link with the predetermined apparatus based on the telephone number, if the predetermined position specified by the command device is associated with the telephone number [apparent from col.3 lines 50-63 when the user selected the destination icon].

Mattaway does not disclose the specific of the information contained in this telephone destination link.

The RFC 1738 provide for a language usable for describing link location in the form of <scheme>:<scheme-specific-part>, wherein the <scheme> is the communication method, <scheme-

Art Unit: 2152

specific-part> includes the destination address and other information dependent upon the <scheme> [see pages 2, 5-6].

Hence, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to apply the RFC 1738 standard to the encoding of the telephone address destination to have the communication method (<scheme>) and the telephone number (<scheme-specific-part>) because it would have enable standard parsing and usage of the link information.

Mattaway does not specifically disclose a computer having a first communicating mode connecting to a server apparatus through the Internet and a second communicating mode connecting to a telephone apparatus via a secured public telephone network, using the same telephone line. However this feature is well known in the art and is an inherent feature in a computer with a fax/modem device. Long discloses that computer with a modem device can make a FAX call, data call to another computer, or access the Internet via the modem through a single telephone line [see col.1 lines 45-55]. Hence, the feature of two communication modes (e.g. Internet access and fax communication) using the same telephone line is inherent in a PC with a modem. Furthermore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use Long's modem with Mattaway because it would have improved reliability of data communication over telephone

Art Unit: 2152

line [see Long col.1]; and thereby arrived at the claimed invention.

Mattaway does not specifically disclose information configured to confirm that a communication link with the predetermined apparatus shall be established (e.g. a confirmation dialog or message) with the telephone number. However, it is well known in the art to offer the user a confirmation when an action with consequence or cost to the user is about to be performed. For example, Capps teaches a user interface having dialog with display of phone number so as to enable the user to confirm the number [see fig.16a-d, fig.17, 19a, col.17 lines 10-37, col.18 lines 5-26]. Hence, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to display the phone number and confirm that the user want to establish the connection indicated in the link because it would have enabled the system to confirm the user's intention and enabled the user to view/verify the number to be dialed.

Mattaway and Capps do not teach the information further includes communication charge based on the telephone number. In similar field of communication art, Shachar teaches a method for switching between communication modes. Shachar teaches providing a link destination with tag data indicating communication method (connection technology), telephone number

Art Unit: 2152

to be dialed and charge information related to the telephone number to be dialed [see col.12 lines 1-11]. Hence, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to including charge information because it would have enabled the user to know the cost of the call and thereby enable the user to make an informed decision whether to make that call.

As per claim 8, Mattaway teaches HTML encoding of the link destination [col.3 line 57].

Claims 17-19, 23-25 are similarly rejected as for claims 7-8 above.

**Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mattaway et al. US patent 6,275,490 and further in view of Long US patent 5,943,365 and Capps et al. US patent 6,512,525; and Shachar et al. US patent 5,764,736.**

As per claim 10, Mattaway teaches an apparatus comprising:

a) a receiver(client's browser) configured to receive information, the information including a telephone number assigned to a line connect to a predetermined apparatus [col.3 lines 50-63, col.4 lines 7-11];

b) a display [fig.2a] configured to display the information

Art Unit: 2152

c) a command device [col.3 line 53 pointing device] configured to specify a predetermined position;

d) a communication controller configured to establish a communication link with the predetermined apparatus based on the telephone number, if the predetermined position specified by the command device is associated with the telephone number [apparent from col.3 lines 50-63 when the user selected the destination icon].

Mattaway does not specifically disclose providing a telephone-number selector when there are plural telephone numbers associated with the position. It is well known in the art of Graphical User Interface to provide a pick-list when there are multiple choices associated with a position selected by a pointing device. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a telephone number selector when there are plural phone numbers associated with the position because it would have simplified the display by presenting only one icon for the plural numbers.

Mattaway does not specifically disclose a computer having a first communicating mode connecting to a server apparatus through the Internet and a second communicating mode connecting to a telephone apparatus via a secured public telephone network, using the same telephone line. However this feature is well

Art Unit: 2152

known in the art and is an inherent feature in a computer with a fax/modem device. Long discloses that computer with a modem device can make a FAX call, data call to another computer, or access the Internet via the modem through a single telephone line [see col.1 lines 45-55]. Hence, the feature of two communication modes (e.g. Internet access and fax communication) using the same telephone line is inherent in a PC with a modem. Furthermore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use Long's modem with Mattaway because it would have improved reliability of data communication over telephone line [see Long col.1]; and thereby arrived at the claimed invention.

Mattaway does not specifically disclose information configured to confirm that a communication link with the predetermined apparatus shall be established (e.g. a confirmation dialog or message) with the telephone number. However, it is well known in the art to offer the user a confirmation when an action with consequence or cost to the user is about to be performed. For example, Capps teaches a user interface having dialog with display of phone number so as to enable the user to confirm that the intended operation [see fig.16a-d, fig.17, 19a, col.17 lines 10-37, col.18 lines 5-26]. Hence, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in

Art Unit: 2152

the art to display the phone number and confirm that the user want to establish the connection indicated in the link because it would have enabled the system to confirm the user's intention and enabled the user to view/verify the number to be dialed.

Mattaway and Capps do not teach the information further includes communication charge based on the telephone number. In similar field of communication art, Shachar teaches a method for switching between communication modes. Shachar teaches providing a link destination with tag data indicating communication method (connection technology), telephone number to be dialed and charge information related to the telephone number to be dialed [see col.12 lines 1-11]. Hence, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to including charge information because it would have enabled the user to know the cost of the call and thereby enable the user to make an informed decision whether to make that call.

**Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mattaway et al. US patent 6,275,490 and further in view of Mark US patent 5,732,133, Long et al. US patent 5,943,365, and Capps US patent 6,512,525; and Shachar et al. US patent 5,764,736.**

As per claim 11, Mattaway teaches an apparatus comprising:

Art Unit: 2152

a) a receiver(client's browser) configured to receive information, the information including a telephone number assigned to a line connect to a predetermined apparatus [col.3 lines 50-63, col.4 lines 7-11];

b) a display [fig.2a] configured to display the information

c) a command device [col.3 line 53 pointing device] configured to specify a predetermined position;

d) a communication controller configured to establish a communication link with the predetermined apparatus based on the telephone number, if the predetermined position specified by the command device is associated with the telephone number [apparent from col.3 lines 50-63 when the user selected the destination icon];

Mattaway does not specifically disclose providing a number adder for adding a number required for international communication to a telephone number.

Mark discloses automatic adding of international telephone code prefix and area code to the phone number to make it relatively easy for a user to place long distance calls from foreign countries. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to have automatic telephone code adder because it would have ease the burden on the user and improved the usability of the system.

Art Unit: 2152

Mattaway does not specifically disclose a computer having a first communicating mode connecting to a server apparatus through the Internet and a second communicating mode connecting to a telephone apparatus via a secured public telephone network, using the same telephone line. However this feature is well known in the art and is an inherent feature in a computer with a fax/modem device. Long discloses that computer with a modem device can make a FAX call, data call to another computer, or access the Internet via the modem through a single telephone line [see col.1 lines 45-55]. Hence, the feature of two communication modes (e.g. Internet access and fax communication) using the same telephone line is inherent in a PC with a modem. Furthermore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use Long's modem with Mattaway because it would have improved reliability of data communication over telephone line [see Long col.1]; and thereby arrived at the claimed invention.

Mattaway does not specifically disclose information configured to confirm that a communication link with the predetermined apparatus shall be established (e.g. a confirmation dialog or message) with the telephone number. However, it is well known in the art to offer the user a confirmation when an action with consequence or cost to the user

Art Unit: 2152

is about to be performed. For example, Capps teaches a user interface having dialog with display of phone number so as to enable the user to confirm that the intended operation [see fig.16a-d, fig.17, 19a, col.17 lines 10-37, col.18 lines 5-26]. Hence, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to display the phone number and confirm that the user want to establish the connection indicated in the link because it would have enabled the system to confirm the user's intention and enabled the user to view/verify the number to be dialed.

Mattaway and Capps do not teach the information further includes communication charge based on the telephone number. In similar field of communication art, Shachar teaches a method for switching between communication modes. Shachar teaches providing a link destination with tag data indicating communication method (connection technology), telephone number to be dialed and charge information related to the telephone number to be dialed [see col.12 lines 1-11]. Hence, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to including charge information because it would have enabled the user to know the cost of the call and thereby enable the user to make an informed decision whether to make that call.

**Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mattaway et al. US patent 6,275,490 and**

Art Unit: 2152

**further in view of Mincone et al. US patent 4,585,904, Long et al. US patent 5,943,365, and Capps US patent 6,512,525; and Shachar et al. US patent 5,764,736.**

As per claim 13, Mattaway teaches an apparatus comprising:

a) a receiver(client's browser) configured to receive information, the information including a telephone number assigned to a line connect to a predetermined apparatus [col.3 lines 50-63, col.4 lines 7-11];

b) a display [fig.2a] configured to display the information

c) a command device [col.3 line 53 pointing device] configured to specify a predetermined position;

d) a communication controller configured to establish a communication link with the predetermined apparatus based on the telephone number, if the predetermined position specified by the command device is associated with the telephone number [apparent from col.3 lines 50-63 when the user selected the destination icon];

Mattaway does not specifically disclose displaying an estimate cost of the call.

Mincone discloses automatic display estimated cost of a call to be made [see abstract]. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to display the estimated cost of the

Art Unit: 2152

call because it would have enabled the user to know and judge whether he want to incur the charge associated with the call.

Mattaway does not specifically disclose a computer having a first communicating mode connecting to a server apparatus through the Internet and a second communicating mode connecting to a telephone apparatus via a secured public telephone network, using the same telephone line. However this feature is well known in the art and is an inherent feature in a computer with a fax/modem device. Long discloses that computer with a modem device can make a FAX call, data call to another computer, or access the Internet via the modem through a single telephone line [see col.1 lines 45-55]. Hence, the feature of two communication modes (e.g. Internet access and fax communication) using the same telephone line is inherent in a PC with a modem. Furthermore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use Long's modem with Mattaway because it would have improved reliability of data communication over telephone line [see Long col.1]; and thereby arrived at the claimed invention.

Mattaway does not specifically disclose information configured to confirm that a communication link with the predetermined apparatus shall be established (e.g. a confirmation dialog or message) with the telephone number.

Art Unit: 2152

However, it is well known in the art to offer the user a confirmation when an action with consequence or cost to the user is about to be performed. For example, Capps teaches a user interface having dialog with display of phone number so as to enable the user to confirm that the intended operation [see fig.16a-d, fig.17, 19a, col.17 lines 10-37, col.18 lines 5-26]. Hence, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to display the phone number and confirm that the user want to establish the connection indicated in the link because it would have enabled the system to confirm the user's intention and enabled the user to view/verify the number to be dialed.

Mattaway and Capps do not teach the information further includes communication charge based on the telephone number. In similar field of communication art, Shachar teaches a method for switching between communication modes. Shachar teaches providing a link destination with tag data indicating communication method (connection technology), telephone number to be dialed and charge information related to the telephone number to be dialed [see col.12 lines 1-11]. Hence, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to including charge information because it would have enabled the user to know the cost of the call and thereby enable the user to make an informed decision whether to make that call.

**Claims 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mattaway et al. US patent 6,275,490 and further in view of Smith US patent 5,835,724, Long et al. US patent 5,943,365, and Capps US patent 6,512,525; and Shachar et al. US patent 5,764,736.**

As per claim 14, Mattaway teaches an apparatus comprising:

a) a receiver(client's browser) configured to receive information, the information including a telephone number assigned to a line connect to a predetermined apparatus [col.3 lines 50-63, col.4 lines 7-11];

b) a display [fig.2a] configured to display the information

c) a command device [col.3 line 53 pointing device] configured to specify a predetermined position;

d) a communication controller configured to establish a communication link with the predetermined apparatus based on the telephone number, if the predetermined position specified by the command device is associated with the telephone number [apparent from col.3 lines 50-63 when the user selected the destination icon];

Mattaway does not specifically disclose reestablishing connection after the receiver was disconnected.

Smith discloses a system for automatic continue a session after a client was disconnected.

Art Unit: 2152

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide means for reestablishing a connection when a receiver was disconnected because it would have improved the system by enabling the receiver to resume a disconnected session.

Mattaway does not specifically disclose a computer having a first communicating mode connecting to a server apparatus through the Internet and a second communicating mode connecting to a telephone apparatus via a secured public telephone network, using the same telephone line. However this feature is well known in the art and is an inherent feature in a computer with a fax/modem device. Long discloses that computer with a modem device can make a FAX call, data call to another computer, or access the Internet via the modem through a single telephone line [see col.1 lines 45-55]. Hence, the feature of two communication modes (e.g. Internet access and fax communication) using the same telephone line is inherent in a PC with a modem. Furthermore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use Long's modem with Mattaway because it would have improved reliability of data communication over telephone line [see Long col.1]; and thereby arrived at the claimed invention.

Mattaway does not specifically disclose information configured to confirm that a communication link with the

Art Unit: 2152

predetermined apparatus shall be established (e.g. a confirmation dialog or message) with the telephone number. However, it is well known in the art to offer the user a confirmation when an action with consequence or cost to the user is about to be performed. For example, Capps teaches a user interface having dialog with display of phone number so as to enable the user to confirm that the intended operation [see fig.16a-d, fig.17, 19a, col.17 lines 10-37, col.18 lines 5-26]. Hence, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to display the phone number and confirm that the user want to establish the connection indicated in the link because it would have enabled the system to confirm the user's intention and enabled the user to view/verify the number to be dialed.

Mattaway and Capps do not teach the information further includes communication charge based on the telephone number. In similar field of communication art, Shachar teaches a method for switching between communication modes. Shachar teaches providing a link destination with tag data indicating communication method (connection technology), telephone number to be dialed and charge information related to the telephone number to be dialed [see col.12 lines 1-11]. Hence, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to including charge information because it would have enabled the

Art Unit: 2152

user to know the cost of the call and thereby enable the user to make an informed decision whether to make that call.

As per claims 15-16, they are rejected under similar rationale as for claim 14 above.

**Conclusion**

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2152

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung Dinh whose telephone number is (571) 272-3943. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:00 AM - 3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenton Burgess can be reached at (571) 272-3949.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Dung Dinh  
Primary Examiner  
October 11, 2005